## Rebuttal to argument against Measure V

The argument against Measure V clearly asks the important question, "Who is to blame...?" for our current fiscal crisis. The STATE has taken more than 130 million dollars from Fremont in the last 12 years. If we had that money, we would be the financially efficiently run City that we always have been. We have been forced to reduce our public safety and maintenance services to a level that threatens our well being as a city and as residents. Blaming the State is correct and truthful. But, there is nothing for our residents to gain by jeopardizing Fremont's future because the State failed us. Measure V is a local source of revenue that cannot be taken. away by the state. We will have a Taxpayers Committee to assure funds are spent as promised and that can recommend reductions in the tax. It would be the only source of revenue completely within our control. We have fewer police officers today than we had in 1991 and we've had a population increase of over 33,000, almost ¾ the population of Newark. We have closed a fire station and a second is closed when there are too few firefighters on duty to minimize overtime. costs. We have had to defer critical street maintenance that will damage our roads. We are not asking for MORE, we are simply asking to restore some of what was lost. Vote for the future safety of our City. VOTE YES ON MEASURE V.



## RELEASE FORM for REBUTTAL ARGUMENT

(To be completed by initial argument signers only if rebuttal is to be signed by different authors)

The undersigned authors of the initial argument in favor of / against (circle one)		
(name of measure or le	etter)	
on the ballot for the City of Fremont General Munici November 2, 2004, hereby authorize the following in their place:		
1. SANDI PANTAGES	_ ·	
2. YOGESH CHUGH	<del>-</del>	
3. JACK ROGERS	_	
4. BERNADETTE BECOBO CHINN 5. GUS MORRISON	- -	
The original signers were:		8/18/04
1. THE FREMONT CITY COONE 1/ Moneison (Print name)	(Signature)	(Date)
2. Chail T. Steckler	This of	(Date)
3. PANIOL T. LYDON (Print name)	A Styl	8-18-04 (Date)
4. JAMES L. REEDER, Jr (Print name)	(Signature)	
5. <u>Garrett 5. Yee</u> (Print name)	Sand & Yer (Signature)	8/14/04 (Date)

## DECLARATION BY AUTHOR(S) OF ARGUMENTS AND REBUTION OF ARGUMENTS AND RE

The undersigned author(s) of the:	Argument in Favor of (300 words)  Argument Against (300 words)  Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of (250 words)  Rebuttal to Argument Against (250 words)	
the ballot measure City of Fremont to be held on November 2, his/hzr/their knowledge and belief:	at the General Municipa) Consolidated Election for the 2004 hereby state that such argument is true and correct to the best of	
1. Sand Pantag	Signature and Date	
Fremont L. 61 Title to Appear on Argument	ration, retired	
2. Yourse CHUEN. Printed Name	Signature and Date	
Title to Appear on Argument  3. Printed Name  Printed Name  Printed Name	Jan Rayon 8/18/04	
Title to Appear on Argument  4. Bernadette Boco Printed Name Common 174 E  EERT and Police 1	be China Bennew of 8/19/04  MERCENUSIEMS PORISE TEAM  Dept. Volunteer	
Title to Appear on Argument  5. Gus Marrison  Printed Name  RETIRING MAYOR.	Signature and Date 8/20/64	
Title to Appear on Argument	DT 1 10011010	
	nors must print his/her name and <u>sign this form</u> AND this/her name and <u>sign the Argument itself</u> AND	
Print his/	her mane and sign the Rebuttal Argument itself	
IMPORTANT: Indicate below the name of person to contact and/or receive correspondence.		
Name		
Phone No.	City/Zip Code	